



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE ,	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,948	12/05/20	001	Kurtis Paul Longnecker	 AUS920010641US1	7801
35525	7590 05	5/03/2004		EXAMINER	
DUKE W. YEE				CABRERA, ZOILA E	
CARSTENS	, YEE & CAHO	ON, L.L.P.			
P.O. BOX 8				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75380				2125	
•		$+i\hat{p}^{(j)}$		DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/004,948	LONGNECKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Zoila E. Cabrera	2125					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u>	.° ecember 2001						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· <u>-</u>	, -						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers	·						
<u> </u>							
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 15 and therefore claims 16-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 15, lines 2-3 recites "the computer program product". There is no antecedent basis for such limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsuchida et al. (US 2003/0177024 A1).

Claims 1, 8, 15 and 22 are so broad as to read in **Tsuchida** who discloses a method, a computer program product and a system for producing a drawing of components and connections needed to implement a desired system, comprising:

receiving user needs of the desired system (Page 3, 0074 lines 1-5; Fig. 1, Electronic Drawing Data Dx, elements 11 and 12, supplier 12 receives Drawing Data from Customer 11); determining components and connections needed to implement a system that satisfies the user needs (Page 7, 0120, lines 3-7);

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/004,948

Art Unit: 2125

generating a drawing program input that provides instructions for producing a drawing of the system that satisfies the user needs (Fig. 28, S700 – S704; Page 8, paragraphs 0130 – 0131 and 0134); and sending the drawing program input to a drawing program (Page 8, 0141; Fig. 1, Electronic Drawing Data Dx and Electronic Drawing Data Dc).

Regarding claims 2-7, 9-14, 16-21 and 23-28, Tsuchida further discloses,

- providing a graphical user interface for receiving the user needs of the desired system (Fig. 3);
- the graphical user interface comprises a plurality of graphical user interfaces
 (Fig. 3);
- the plurality of graphical user interfaces are presented to the user sequentially (Fig. 5, i.e., Received Unit No. 0014, 0018, 0024; Fig. 3, Received Unit No. and "Details are displayed by Double Click");
- generating, with the drawing program, the drawing of the desired system (Fig. 28, \$704);
- the desired system is a system to implement web hosting (Page 3, 0068, lines 1-6; Fig. 1, element 15);
- determining components and connections needed to implement the system that
 satisfies the user needs comprises referencing a set of policies that must be
 satisfied in order for the desired system to function properly (Page 5, 0084, lines
 3-8, i.e. there is a format rule specific to each orderer or customer 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,948

Art Unit: 2125

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Zoila Cabrera, whose telephone number is (703) 306-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

lf attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (703) 308-0538. Additionally, the fax phones for Art Unit 2125 are (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Patent Examiner 4/29/04

> LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L. P. Pi

Page 4